Exhibit Y

1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS
2	MARSHALL DIVISION
3	NETLIST, INC., (CAUSE NO. 2:21-CV-463-JRG
4	Plaintiff, (
5	vs. (
6 7	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., (et al.,) MARSHALL, TEXAS (APRIL 20, 2023
8	Defendants.) 8:30 A.M.
9	
10	
11	VOLUME 5
12	
13	TRIAL ON THE MERITS
14	BEFORE THE HONORABLE RODNEY GILSTRAP
15	UNITED STATES CHIEF DISTRICT JUDGE and a jury
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	SHAWN MCROBERTS, RMR, CRR 100 E. HOUSTON STREET
23	MARSHALL, TEXAS 75670 (903) 923-8546
24	shawn_mcroberts@txed.uscourts.gov
25	

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

not pursued at the trial, and -- that this is not an appropriate subject for judgment as a matter of law because it simply wasn't an issue in the trial and it's a claim not tried in the trial, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you, Mr. Payne.

All right. With regard to the competing arguments from the parties on the issue of infringement as urged by the Plaintiff and non-infringement as urged by the Defendants, the Court denies those motions in both directions.

With regard to the issue of willful infringement urged by the Plaintiff and no willful infringement urged by the Defendants, the Court denies those motions in both directions from the competing parties.

With regard to the issue of damages where the Plaintiff sought JMOL to establish damages and the Defendants sought JMOL finding that no damages were warranted, the Court denies both motions in opposite directions as submitted by the competing parties.

With regard to the issue of validity as raised affirmatively by the Plaintiffs and invalidity as raised affirmatively by the Defendants, in particular as to obviousness under § 103 for the '339 Patent, and lack of written description as to the '339 Patent, the '054 Patent, and the '918 Patent, the Court denies those motions in opposite directions from both competing parties.

2.0

2.1

2.2

2.4

As to the issue of the starting date for damages for the '054 Patent, the Court grants JMOL that the damages period for the '054 Patent will not be prior to January the 25th, 2022.

With regard to the issue of foundry products, Plaintiff's counsel is correct that where claims and defenses are typically abandoned or jettisoned in advance of the trial, the Court's practice is not to rule on those substantively, but in this case the foundry products issue was litigated throughout the pretrial process. At the conclusion of the pretrial process, it was made clear to the Court that the parties intended it to be a part of the trial on the merits before the jury. It's that reason that the Court invited both sides to submit suggested instructions for it to consider in its preliminary jury instructions regarding the JDLA, its termination date, and the issue of foundry products as being excluded from the license provisions of the JDLA.

With regard to the foundry products issue under the JDLA, notwithstanding what happened after the jury was impaneled and the evidence began, this was a live issue that had not been abandoned or narrowed through the impaneling of the jury and the beginning of the trial. Therefore, I am going to rule on the foundry products issue and I'm going to grant JMOL that there is no infringement prior to the July 15th, 2021, date with the termination of the JDLA with regard to foundry products or anything else prior to that time. July 15th,

2020, being the termination date of the JDLA. 1 And those are the Court's rulings on motions argued under Rule 50(a). 3 It's a quarter of 2:00, counsel. At this juncture, I 4 would typically invite you into my office where we would have 5 6 an informal charge conference and review the current status of the proposed final jury instructions and verdict form. I 7 don't have room for everybody that's in here to be in my 8 office so, quite honestly, let's take a 15-minute recess and 9 then I will come back in the courtroom, you can stay where you 10 are, and we'll just conduct the informal charge conference in 11 12 the courtroom off the record. The Courtroom Deputy and the court reporter won't be present, and we'll informally discuss 13 what you most recently submitted where you have disputes and 14 where the Court has questions. 15 16 So we stand in recess for 15 minutes. 17 (The proceedings were concluded at 1:45 p.m.) 18 19 2.0 2.1 2.2 23 24 25